

Exploring Digital Circular Economy Principles in Educational Institutions

Santiago Martinez^{1*}, Juan Carlos Rodríguez², Sri Lestari³ 

^{1,2} Dept. of Informatic System, Ilearning Incorporation, Montenegro

³ Dept. of Economic, As-Syafi'iyah Islamic University, Indonesia

¹santiago@ilearning.co, ²carlosj@ilearning.co, ³srilestari.feb@uia.ac.id

*Corresponding Author

Article Info

Article history:

Submission July 12, 2024

Revised July 23, 2024

Accepted August 20, 2024

Published November 15, 2024

Keywords:

Circular Economy

Education

Digital Economy

Sustainability



ABSTRACT

The integration of digital circular economy (DCE) principles within educational institutions has gained increasing attention in recent years, as institutions strive to adopt sustainable and resource-efficient models. This paper explores the background of how DCE can be applied to enhance sustainability in the educational sector, focusing on reducing waste, optimizing resources, and fostering circular economic practices. The objective of this research is to analyze the effectiveness of DCE implementation in improving institutional sustainability and educational outcomes. The study employs a mixed-method approach, combining qualitative interviews with educational professionals and quantitative surveys to gather data on the adoption and impact of DCE principles. Results indicate that institutions which have integrated DCE strategies, such as digital resource sharing and the reuse of educational materials, have seen significant improvements in both resource management and educational performance. The findings suggest that the application of digital circular economy models contributes to reducing costs and enhancing the learning environment by promoting efficiency and sustainability. The conclusion highlights the potential of DCE as a transformative approach in the educational context, recommending further research on scaling these practices and their long-term impact on educational institutions.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33050/itee.v3i1.664>

This is an open-access article under the CC-BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

©Authors retain all copyrights

1. INTRODUCTION

The shift towards sustainable practices in various sectors has sparked growing interest in the concept of the circular economy, particularly within the educational context. The digital circular economy (DCE) has emerged as a transformative model that integrates digital technologies to optimize resource use, minimize waste, and promote the reuse and recycling of materials. As educational institutions increasingly embrace digitalization, there is a clear opportunity to incorporate DCE principles to foster sustainability in both operational processes and academic practices. Educational institutions, which are responsible for shaping future generations, must lead by example in implementing sustainable strategies that reduce their environmental footprint while simultaneously improving the quality of education. The relevance of DCE in education goes beyond simple resource management; it involves rethinking the entire learning ecosystem to promote sustainable practices and resilience in a rapidly changing world.



Figure 1. Illustration of a circular digital economy

The objective of this study is to explore how digital circular economy principles can be effectively implemented within educational institutions [1]. With the increasing reliance on digital platforms for teaching, learning, and administration, institutions have a unique opportunity to apply circular economy strategies to manage resources more efficiently and create a sustainable educational environment [2–4]. This research seeks to investigate the impact of integrating DCE principles on resource utilization, waste reduction, and educational outcomes [5, 6]. By analyzing the adoption of DCE practices, such as digital resource sharing, open-access educational materials, and the reuse of digital tools and content, this study aims to identify best practices that can guide other institutions in their transition towards sustainability [7–9]. Furthermore, this research will contribute to the growing body of literature on the intersection of sustainability, digitalization, and education [10–12].

This study employs a mixed-method approach, utilizing both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods to provide a comprehensive analysis of DCE implementation in educational institutions [13–15]. Interviews with key stakeholders, such as administrators, educators, and students, will provide insights into the challenges and opportunities associated with adopting DCE strategies [16–18]. Additionally, surveys will be conducted to assess the extent of DCE adoption and its impact on institutional sustainability and educational outcomes [19–21]. The findings of this research are expected to reveal the benefits and limitations of implementing DCE in education, offering valuable recommendations for policymakers, educators, and administrators. Ultimately, this study aims to underscore the importance of integrating digital circular economy principles into educational frameworks to enhance sustainability and prepare institutions for future challenges in the digital age [22–24].

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. The Concept of Digital Circular Economy in Education

The digital circular economy (DCE) is an evolving concept that blends the principles of the circular economy with the rapid advancements of digital technologies, aiming to reduce waste, optimize resource usage, and foster sustainable practices [25]. In the educational context, this concept addresses how digital platforms and tools can be leveraged to minimize resource consumption while enhancing educational outcomes. Recent studies emphasize that educational institutions, as key drivers of societal change, have a significant role in adopting sustainable frameworks that align with circular economy principles [26]. By integrating digital circular economy models, schools and universities can reduce the need for physical resources like paper, textbooks, and administrative materials, while promoting the reuse and sharing of digital educational content. Digital resource sharing through open-access platforms has become a critical component in reducing educational waste and promoting collaborative learning [27].

Moreover, the digital circular economy enhances learning environments by optimizing the use of technological infrastructure and resources. According to a study by [28, 29], educational institutions that adopt circular economy strategies are better equipped to manage their digital resources, ensuring that they are reused and repurposed across different learning contexts [30]. The focus on resource optimization is critical, especially as educational institutions transition towards more digitally-oriented models post-pandemic. This shift highlights the growing importance of circular strategies in maintaining resource efficiency while minimizing environmental impact. Consequently, DCE practices align with the broader goals of sustainability in education by fostering a culture of resource sharing, collaboration, and long-term digital infrastructure sustainability [31, 32].

2.2. Implementation of Digital Circular Economy Practices in Educational Institutions

Implementing digital circular economy principles within educational institutions requires both strategic planning and cultural shifts towards sustainability. According to [33], successful implementation of DCE in education hinges on the ability of institutions to effectively integrate technology into their operational models while promoting resource efficiency and waste reduction. This involves the adoption of digital platforms that facilitate the sharing of knowledge, the reuse of educational materials, and the repurposing of digital resources for different learning purposes. For instance, the use of learning management systems (LMS) to provide digital course materials, coupled with open educational resources (OER), allows for the efficient reuse of content across multiple institutions [34]. This approach not only reduces the environmental impact of producing new materials but also encourages collaboration and resource-sharing among educators and learners.

In addition to technological implementation, there is a growing need for educational institutions to foster a culture of sustainability among students and staff. A study by [35] highlights the importance of educating both faculty and students about the benefits of the circular economy, particularly in the digital realm. Educational programs focused on sustainability can encourage individuals to adopt circular practices, such as reducing digital waste, optimizing the use of learning technologies, and actively participating in the reuse of educational resources. As digital platforms and tools become more integral to the learning process, promoting a mindset of sustainability will be critical to achieving long-term success in DCE implementation. Moreover, policies and frameworks that support the integration of digital circular economy practices can provide a foundation for more widespread adoption across educational systems [36].

The literature reveals a growing interest in the potential of the digital circular economy to transform the educational landscape. By implementing DCE practices, institutions can significantly reduce their environmental footprint, optimize the use of digital resources, and create more collaborative and sustainable learning environments. The focus on resource efficiency and sustainability, combined with advancements in digital technology, positions the digital circular economy as a key driver in the future of education [37].

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, combining both qualitative and quantitative approaches to explore the implementation of digital circular economy (DCE) principles within educational institutions. The mixed-methods approach allows for a comprehensive analysis, where qualitative data provides insights into the experiences and perceptions of stakeholders, while quantitative data supports generalizable conclusions regarding the adoption and impact of DCE practices. The research focuses on educational institutions, ranging from universities to secondary schools, that have integrated or are in the process of integrating digital circular economy principles into their operations and academic frameworks. This study's design is exploratory and descriptive, aiming to provide a detailed understanding of how DCE practices influence sustainability in education.

3.2. Data Collection Methods

Data for this research were collected using a combination of qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys. The qualitative component involved semi-structured interviews with administrators, educators, and IT professionals in various educational institutions. These interviews were designed to gather detailed insights into the challenges, opportunities, and outcomes of implementing DCE strategies in the educational environment. The quantitative data were collected through surveys distributed to students, faculty, and staff, focusing on

their experiences with digital resource sharing, the reuse of educational materials, and other circular economy practices.

The survey used a five-point Likert scale to assess participants' perceptions of resource efficiency, sustainability practices, and digital tools in their institutions. The target population included 200 participants, with a response rate of 85%, resulting in a sample size of 170 respondents.

Table 1. Data Collection Methods and Instruments

Data Type	Method	Target Population	Sample Size	Instrument
Qualitative	Semi-structured Interviews	Administrators, Educators, IT Staff	20	Interview Guide
Quantitative	Surveys	Students, Faculty, Staff	170	Online Questionnaire (Likert Scale)

3.3. Sampling and Participants

The study employed purposive sampling to select participants who are directly involved in or affected by the implementation of DCE practices in educational institutions. Purposive sampling was chosen to ensure that the data collected would be relevant to the specific objectives of the research. The sample comprised administrators, educators, IT professionals, students, and staff from various educational institutions, providing a balanced perspective on the integration of digital circular economy principles in different contexts.

Participants were selected from institutions that had either fully adopted DCE strategies or were in the early stages of implementation. Table 1 below provides an overview of the participants' distribution:

Table 2. Participant Distribution by Category and Institution Type

Category	Number of Participants	Institution Type
Administrators	10	Universities
Educators	20	Secondary Schools
IT Professionals	10	Universities
Students	100	Universities and Schools
Other Staff	30	Universities

3.4. Data Analysis

Data analysis was conducted using both qualitative and quantitative methods. The qualitative data from interviews were transcribed and analyzed using thematic analysis. Themes were identified based on recurring patterns in the data, focusing on challenges, opportunities, and institutional strategies related to DCE. This analysis provided a rich understanding of how educational institutions approach the implementation of circular economy practices in their digital environments.

The quantitative data from the surveys were analyzed using descriptive statistics and correlation analysis. Descriptive statistics, such as mean and standard deviation, were used to summarize the participants' responses, while correlation analysis explored the relationships between different variables, such as resource efficiency and satisfaction with digital tools. The analysis was conducted using statistical software to ensure accuracy and reliability.

Table 3. Data Analysis Techniques and Software Used

Data Type	Analysis Technique	Software Used
Qualitative	Thematic Analysis	NVivo
Quantitative	Descriptive Statistics, Correlation Analysis	SPSS

3.5. Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the relevant institutional review board, ensuring that all participants provided informed consent before taking part in the study. Confidentiality and anonymity

were maintained throughout the research process, with participants’ data securely stored and used solely for academic purposes. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any point without any negative consequences.

This methodology section outlines the structured approach used to investigate the implementation of digital circular economy principles in educational institutions, ensuring the rigor and reliability of the research findings.

4. RESEARCH RESULTS

4.1. Overview of Digital Circular Economy Adoption in Educational Institutions

The results from this study demonstrate a growing trend in the adoption of Digital Circular Economy (DCE) principles within educational institutions, particularly in universities and secondary schools. Based on qualitative interviews with administrators and educators, it was found that institutions have increasingly integrated DCE strategies to manage resources more efficiently, reduce waste, and promote sustainability. Many of the institutions have adopted digital resource-sharing platforms and implemented open-access educational materials to minimize the production of new content, thus aligning with circular economy practices. Participants noted that digital technologies, such as learning management systems (LMS) and collaborative platforms, have played a crucial role in optimizing the use of educational resources across departments and faculties.

The thematic analysis from qualitative data revealed three key themes: resource optimization, sustainable digital infrastructure, and the cultural shift towards sustainability in education. Educators highlighted that sharing digital materials across institutions and reusing digital content have significantly reduced costs and waste. Administrators noted that these practices have led to improved operational efficiency and a more sustainable learning environment. However, some challenges, such as resistance to change and a lack of technical infrastructure in some schools, were also identified as barriers to full DCE adoption.

4.2. Quantitative Analysis of Resource Efficiency and Satisfaction

The quantitative survey results, which included responses from 170 participants (students, faculty, and staff), provided further insights into the impact of DCE practices. Descriptive statistics showed a high level of satisfaction with the use of digital tools for resource-sharing and collaboration, with 78% of respondents agreeing that the reuse of educational resources had improved the efficiency of their learning environment. A significant majority (82%) also reported that DCE practices had reduced their institution’s overall consumption of physical resources, particularly paper and printed materials.

Correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between the adoption of DCE practices and participant satisfaction with digital resource use. The analysis revealed a positive correlation ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.05$), indicating that institutions with higher levels of DCE implementation experienced greater satisfaction among students and staff regarding resource efficiency. The reduction in costs associated with printing and materials was another significant benefit highlighted in the survey, with 74% of respondents noting a decrease in their personal or departmental expenditures on physical resources due to the shift toward digital circular practices.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics for Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Satisfaction with Digital Tools	4.2	0.75
Perceived Resource Efficiency	4.5	0.6
Reduction in Physical Resource Use	82%	-

4.3. Thematic Insights from Interviews

The qualitative interviews provided deeper insights into the practical challenges and opportunities associated with DCE implementation in educational institutions. Several administrators and IT professionals discussed the initial investment in digital infrastructure, which included upgrading servers and purchasing licenses for collaborative software. Although these costs were substantial, they were considered a necessary investment for long-term sustainability. Educators noted that students became more engaged when provided with open-access digital resources, promoting a more collaborative and inclusive learning environment. One administrator highlighted, “The integration of digital circular economy practices has not only reduced our costs

but also empowered our students to become more responsible digital citizens by reusing and sharing educational content.”

However, some challenges were identified. A few institutions faced resistance from staff unfamiliar with digital tools, necessitating training programs to ease the transition to DCE-based operations. Additionally, participants from schools with limited access to technology infrastructure cited the need for more support in building robust digital platforms. Despite these challenges, there was a general consensus that the implementation of DCE practices had a positive overall impact on institutional sustainability and educational quality.

4.4. Conclusion of Research Results

The research findings demonstrate that the adoption of DCE principles in educational institutions significantly enhances resource efficiency and promotes a more sustainable learning environment. Through the combined use of digital tools and circular economy practices, educational institutions can reduce their environmental impact while optimizing their use of resources. The mixed-methods approach used in this study provided a comprehensive understanding of the practical benefits and challenges associated with implementing DCE. Overall, the results indicate a positive correlation between DCE adoption and participant satisfaction, supporting the view that digital circular economy practices are essential for future sustainable educational models. Further research is recommended to explore scalable strategies for institutions with limited resources to fully embrace DCE principles.

5. CONCLUSION

The findings of this research highlight the significant role that Digital Circular Economy (DCE) principles can play in transforming educational institutions towards greater sustainability. By implementing DCE practices, such as digital resource-sharing, the reuse of educational materials, and the integration of open-access platforms, educational institutions have been able to reduce resource waste, optimize the use of digital tools, and create more sustainable learning environments. Both qualitative and quantitative data reveal that these practices not only improve resource efficiency but also enhance educational outcomes by fostering collaboration and reducing operational costs. Furthermore, institutions that have fully embraced DCE strategies reported higher levels of satisfaction among students and staff, showcasing the positive impact of these sustainable practices on the overall educational experience.

This research answered the key questions about how DCE principles can be implemented in educational institutions and what impact these practices have on sustainability and resource management. The results show that DCE implementation leads to significant reductions in the use of physical resources and enhances satisfaction with digital tools. However, the study identified some limitations, including the initial costs of digital infrastructure and resistance to change among some staff. Moreover, the study focused primarily on institutions with access to sufficient technological resources, which may not be representative of institutions in areas with limited digital infrastructure. This limitation points to the need for a more inclusive examination of how DCE principles can be implemented in diverse educational settings.

Future research should explore more scalable and cost-effective strategies for implementing DCE principles in institutions with limited resources. There is a need for further investigation into the long-term impacts of DCE adoption, particularly in relation to educational outcomes, environmental sustainability, and financial performance. Additionally, future studies could examine how policy frameworks and government support can aid the widespread adoption of DCE practices in education. Expanding the research to include more diverse educational contexts, including rural or under-resourced schools, would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities associated with implementing digital circular economy models in education.

6. DECLARATIONS

6.1. Author Contributions

Validation:; Conceptualization:; Methodology:; Formal Analysis:; Writing Review and Editing:; Visualization:; Each of the authors—.....— has reviewed and approved the manuscript’s published form.

6.2. Data Availability Statement

The corresponding author may provide the data from this study upon request.

6.3. Funding

The research, writing, and/or publishing of this work were all done without financial assistance from the authors.

6.4. Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

6.5. Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

6.6. Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors state that none of their known conflicting financial interests or personal connections could have had an impact on the work that was published in this publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Sutarman, U. Rahardja, F. P. Oganda, S. Millah, and N. N. Azizah, "The role of information technology in empowering the creative economy for sustainable tourism," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 5, no. 2sp, pp. 175–185, 2023.
- [2] P. Del Vecchio, G. Secundo, G. Mele, and G. Passiante, "Sustainable entrepreneurship education for circular economy: Emerging perspectives in europe," *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 2096–2124, 2021.
- [3] M. H. R. Chakim, P. A. Sunarya, V. Agarwal, I. N. Hikam *et al.*, "Village tourism empowerment against innovation, economy creative, and social environmental," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 5, no. 2sp, pp. 162–174, 2023.
- [4] R. De la Torre, B. S. Onggo, C. G. Corlu, M. Nogal, and A. A. Juan, "The role of simulation and serious games in teaching concepts on circular economy and sustainable energy," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. 1138, 2021.
- [5] I. C. Kawi and W. Pontjoharyo, "Integrating buddhist principles into management control systems: A cattari ariya saccani approach," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 5, no. 2sp, pp. 146–161, 2023.
- [6] E. Dollan, B. D. K. Ramadhan *et al.*, "Assessing the outcomes of circular economy and waste management partnerships between indonesia and denmark," *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 76–83, 2023.
- [7] U. Awan and R. Sroufe, "Sustainability in the circular economy: insights and dynamics of designing circular business models," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 1521, 2022.
- [8] S. Yulianto and R. A. Suharjo, "Prediksi stok dan pengaturan tata letak barang menggunakan kombinasi algoritma triple exponential smoothing dan fp-growth," *Jurnal Teknologi Informasi dan Ilmu Komputer (JTIK)*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 869–878, 2020.
- [9] Y. Arshimelda, K. D. Hartomo, and H. D. Purnomo, "Analysis and implementation of fp-growth algorithm to support promotion strategy unaki semarang," *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security (IJCSIS)*, vol. 18, no. 12, 2020.
- [10] A. Dudhat and V. Agarwal, "Indonesia's digital economy's development," *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 109–118, 2023.
- [11] K. D. Hartomo, J. A. Lopo, and H. D. Purnomo, "Enhancing multi-output time series forecasting with encoder-decoder networks." *Journal of Information Systems Engineering & Business Intelligence*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2023.
- [12] S. Supriyadi, S. Wijono, K. D. Hartomo *et al.*, "Analysis service architecture utilizing middleware for information services management systems," *International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 1–15, 2024.
- [13] M. Massaro, S. Secinaro, F. Dal Mas, V. Brescia, and D. Calandra, "Industry 4.0 and circular economy: An exploratory analysis of academic and practitioners' perspectives," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 1213–1231, 2021.

- [14] R. Aulia, A. Sururi, and S. Sukendar, "Effectiveness of featured product of rural areas program (prukades) in improving the economy of teluk village community pandeglang regency," *ADI J. Recent Innov*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25–32, 2020.
- [15] M. Wahyudi, V. Meilinda, and A. Khoirunisa, "The digital economy's use of big data," *International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 62–70, 2022.
- [16] F. B. Ismail, A. T. Z. Xuan, U. Rusilowati, and J. Williams, "Exploring the frontier of data science: Innovations, challenges, and future directions," *International Transactions on Education Technology (ITEE)*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 163–172, 2024.
- [17] A. S. Bist, "Detection of metamorphic viruses: A survey," in *2014 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI)*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 1559–1565.
- [18] S. Pricillia and R. A. Ramadhan, "Eksekusi canvas miring pada startup dalam menghadapi kompetisi," *ADI Bisnis Digital Interdisiplin Jurnal*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 26–33, 2024.
- [19] P. Mhatre, R. Panchal, A. Singh, and S. Bibyan, "A systematic literature review on the circular economy initiatives in the european union," *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, vol. 26, pp. 187–202, 2021.
- [20] A. Suryadi, "The implementation of turbine ventilator as an alternative power plant," *ADI Journal on Recent Innovation*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2020.
- [21] I. Hidayat and P. O. Sutria, "The influence of determined tax load, tax planning, and profitability in profit management in the company manufacturing the mining sector, the coal sub sector listed on the indonesia stock exchange year," *APTISI Transactions on Management*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 79–85, 2023.
- [22] M. Yusuf, D. Julianingsih, T. Ramadhani *et al.*, "Transformasi pendidikan digital 5.0 melalui integrasi inovasi ilmu pengetahuan dan teknologi," *Jurnal MENTARI: Manajemen, Pendidikan Dan Teknologi Informasi*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 11–19, 2023.
- [23] N. Septiani, A. S. Bist, C. S. Bangun, and E. Dolan, "Digital business student development for entrepreneurs with software," *Startuppreneur Business Digital (SABDA Journal)*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 34–44, 2022.
- [24] H. S. Prakoso and K. D. Hartomo, "Perancangan antarmuka sistem pelaporan kerusakan gedung menggunakan metode human centered design (hcd) berbasis mobile dengan teknologi hybrid (studi kasus: Kampus notohamidjojo fti uksw)," *Jurnal Transformatika*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2020.
- [25] T. Surmacz, B. Wierzbinski, W. Kuźniar, and L. Witek, "Towards sustainable consumption: Generation z's views on ownership and access in the sharing economy," *Energies*, vol. 17, no. 14, p. 3377, 2024.
- [26] O. Rodríguez-Espíndola, A. Cuevas-Romo, S. Chowdhury, N. Díaz-Acevedo, P. Albores, S. Despoudi, C. Malesios, and P. Dey, "The role of circular economy principles and sustainable-oriented innovation to enhance social, economic and environmental performance: Evidence from mexican smes," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 248, p. 108495, 2022.
- [27] F. Iniesto, B. Tabuenca, C. Rodrigo, and E. Tovar, "Challenges to achieving a more inclusive and sustainable open education," *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*, vol. 2021, no. 1, 2021.
- [28] A. Wilson, R. Kask, and L. W. Ming, "Exploring circular digital economy strategies for sustainable environmental, economic, and educational technology," *International Transactions on Education Technology (ITEE)*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 129–139, 2024.
- [29] F. Kruger, Z. Queen, O. Radelva, and N. Lawrence, "Comparative analysis of scientific approaches in computer science: A quantitative study," *International Transactions on Education Technology (ITEE)*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 120–128, 2024.
- [30] S. Maulana, I. M. Nasution, Y. Shino, and A. R. S. Panjaitan, "Fintech as a financing solution for micro, small and medium enterprises," *Startuppreneur Business Digital (SABDA Journal)*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 71–82, 2022.
- [31] N. S. R. Rais, R. Supriati, and S. I. Danti, "Instalasi open journal system (ojs) versi 3 sebagai pendukung kegiatan pengelolaan dan publikasi jurnal ilmiah," *Technomedia Journal*, vol. 2, no. 2 Februari, pp. 66–80, 2018.
- [32] D. M. Kristari and A. Y. Teruna, "Impact of carbon emission disclosure and corporate social responsibility on indonesian manufacturing companies," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 5, no. 1Sp, pp. 38–48, 2023.
- [33] M. Sukiennik, K. Zybala, D. Fuksa, and M. Kesek, "The role of universities in sustainable development and circular economy strategies," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 17, p. 5365, 2021.
- [34] J. A. Ruipérez-Valiente, S. Martin, J. Reich, and M. Castro, "The unmooring process: Extending the

- impact of mooc educational resources as oers,” *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 18, p. 7346, 2020.
- [35] M. J. Sá and S. Serpa, “The covid-19 pandemic as an opportunity to foster the sustainable development of teaching in higher education,” *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 20, p. 8525, 2020.
- [36] A. C. Baramuli, S. J. Yulianto, and K. D. Hartomo, “Macro variable predictive model in determining susceptibility regions using combined methods of double exponential smoothing and fuzzy mcdm (case study: Central java province),” *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security*, vol. 12, no. 6, p. 20, 2014.
- [37] B. H. Simanjuntak, S. Y. J. Prasetyo, K. D. Hartomo, and H. D. Purnomo, “Application of fuzzy logic for mapping the agro-ecological zones,” in *Handbook of Research on Artificial Intelligence Techniques and Algorithms*. IGI Global, 2015, pp. 351–377.